



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,743	05/31/2006	Beatrice Martin	Q92963	4968
23373	7590	12/23/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			CUMMING, WILLIAM D	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			2617	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/23/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/568,743	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MARTIN, BEATRICE
	<b>Examiner</b> WILLIAM D. CUMMING	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-146/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Drawings***

1. The drawings were received on September 22, 2008. These drawings are approved.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 13-25, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. *In re Hyatt*, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983). A single means claim which covered every conceivable means, in this case processing means, for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor. When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to *Hyatt* is

possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "3G type communication network" is indefinite. What type? A little or allot? Some or all?

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-12 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

A 35 USC § 101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. If neither of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is not a patent eligible process under 35 USC § 101

and should be rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Thus, to qualify as a 35 USC § 101 statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state. *Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876). In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

#### ***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30 and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Conclusion***

#### **8. DUPLICATE COPY OF FORMS FOR FEE PROCESSING NO LONGER REQUIRED**

Numerous United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) forms utilized for making fee payments in regard to an application, a reexamination proceeding or a patent include language requesting a duplicate copy of the form for fee processing. In the past, the duplicate copy of the form was needed as the paper application file would be in one area of the Office while the processing of the fee would be done in another area of the Office. It is current Office procedure to scan forms containing an authorization to charge or credit a fee amount to a deposit account into the Image File Wrapper (IFW) of an application, a reexamination proceeding or a patent. Once the form is scanned into IFW, it is available and viewable throughout the Office for, *inter alia*, fee processing. As a result, there is no longer a need for the duplicate copy. Office forms (e.g., PTOISBIO5; PTOL-85B; PTOISBII6; PTOISBII7;

PTOISBII7p; PTOISBII8; PTOISB119; PTOISBI22; PTOISBI24A; PTOISBI29; PTOISBI30; PTOISBI3 1; PTOISBI32; PTOISBI37; PTOISBI43; PTOISBI45; PTOISBISO; PTOISBI56; PTOISBR7; PTOISB158; PTOISBI65; PTOISB166; PTOISBI94; PTOISBI13PCT; and PTO-1390) will be revised to remove the request for a duplicate copy of the form for fee processing. In the event that a duplicate copy of a form is needed for fee processing (e.g., the form has not been scanned into IFW or the form is submitted in a security application), the Office will make the necessary copy of the form for fee processing. Inquiries concerning this notice may be directed to James Engel, Senior Legal Advisor in the Office of Patent Legal Administration, at (571) 272-7701 or at [PatentPractice@uspto.gov](mailto:PatentPractice@uspto.gov).

9. If applicants wish to request for an interview, an "*Applicant Initiated Interview Request*" form (PTOL-413A) should be submitted to the examiner prior to the interview in order to permit the examiner to prepare in advance for the interview and to focus on the issues to be discussed. This form should identify the participants of the interview, the proposed date of the interview, whether the interview will be personal, telephonic, or video conference, and should include a brief description of the issues to be discussed. A copy of the completed "*Applicant Initiated Interview Request*" form should be attached to the Interview Summary form, PTOL-413 at the completion of the interview and a copy should be given to applicant or applicant's representative.

## **10. REMINDER FOR ALL REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS PLEASE UPDATE YOUR CONTACT INFORMATION**

As a registered patent attorney or agent, you will in the near future be able to self-manage your contact information online, at the United States Patent and Trademark Office's (USPTO) website link dedicated to the official roster of attorneys and agents.

### **How to Update**

The following are the steps to get started on self-managing your information.

1. By March 1, 2009 - Please check the current roster to ensure that your business mailing address is accurate. If it needs to be changed, please provide the USPTO's Office of Enrollment and Discipline (OED) with your current business mailing address. ("How To Correct Your Information" steps are detailed below.)

2. OED will mail to you a password. Separately, OED will mail you a valid user identification. Thus, you should expect two mailings. OED plans to mail the password and valid user ID to all practitioners after March 1, 2009.
3. After you receive your password and valid user ID, you will be able to change your address and telephone number information, as well as input your e-mail address. OED will not insert or change a practitioner's e-mail address. To avoid unsolicited spamming and communications, a practitioner's e-mail address will not be made public.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM D. CUMMING whose telephone number is 571-272-7861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday, 11:00am-8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on 571-272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/WILLIAM D CUMMING/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2617